

United States Patent and Trademark Office

wh

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	GOVERNA (MIONING
		TINGT THE BY LETTON	ATTORNET DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,403 07/07/2	003	Eitan Rosen	MP0278	5933
26703 7590 05/08/2007 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE P.L.C.			EXAMINER	
5445 CORPORATE DRIVE			SIDDIQUI, SAQIB JAVAID	
SUITE 200 TROY, MI 48098			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2117	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/614,403	ROSEN, EITAN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Saqib J. Siddiqui ears on the cover sheet with the c	2117 orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin (ii) apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Fe	bruary 2007.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) 1-90 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-90</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
,	animor. Note the attached office	7.00.01.01.01.11.1.7.0.102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
		•			
o					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's response was received and entered February 19, 2007.

- Claims 1-90 are pending.
- Application is currently pending.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-90 filed February 19, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Examiner would like to point out that this action is made final (See MPEP 706.07a).

Applicant contends that the prior art of record Dunn et al. US Pat no. 6,463,570 does not disclose identifying a flawed signal path. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

The term signal-path can be interpreted as a connection between two points in a circuit through which a signal propagates. Dunn mentions that "Poor salicide formation over the STI step can lead to short but high resistance paths." (column 1, lines 26-28). In order to test for defective dies a ring oscillator sends a signal through the die. "Such a ring oscillator 200 is illustrated in FIG. 2A. Ring oscillator 200 includes an odd number, n, of inverters 100. Output 202 of ring oscillator 200 constitutes an oscillatory signal having a period determined by the propagation delays through the n inverters 100. In turn, the propagation delays are determined by the RC time constant of the parasitic resistance and capacitance network of the corresponding inverter 100, as discussed in conjunction with FIG. 1A." (column 4, lines 2-9). In claim 3 Dunn teaches "and mapping each such die to a corresponding physical location on a wafer, whereby

Art Unit: 2117

one or more portions of said wafer having a marginal performance characteristic are identified," and in claim 7 "includes logging a position of said die to said database in association with said period." From the given language it can be interpreted that during one test procedure the apparatus in Dunn identifies a faulty die, which incorporates a signal-path, because a die can comprises of at least two points through which a signal is being propagated. Therefore, Dunn teaches identifying a faulty signal path.

Under a different interpretation it can be interpreted that Dunn identifies two faulty dies, which are connected to each other. The apparatus then logs their position in a database. Since the dies are connected there exists a signal path between them and if the dies are identified as faulty that region of the wafer or that entire signal path from starting point (first die) to ending point (second die) is identified as faulty. Therefore, Dunn teaches identifying a faulty signal path.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Art Unit: 2117

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-8, 12-20, 24-31, 35-42, 46-53, 57-64, 68-75, 79-86, & 90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Dunn et al. US Pat no. 6,463,570, and further in view of Sunter et al. US Pat no. 6,204,694 B1.

As per claim 1:

Dunn et al substantially teaches an apparatus for testing an integrated circuit comprising a ring oscillator and an analysis circuit adapted to identify one of the signal paths as flawed (Figure 3B # 352, claim 10).

Dunn does not explicitly teach the specific ring oscillator as taught in Sunter et al.

However, Sunter et al., in an analogous art, teaches an apparatus for testing an integrated circuit comprising a plurality of clocked storage elements each having a clock input wherein the clocked storage elements are interconnected by a plurality of signal paths (column 4, lines 21-26), the apparatus and method comprising, a control circuit adapted to provide a control signal (Figure 6B # 605, column 8, lines 45-51), and a signal generator adapted (column 4, lines 31-35) to, receive a first clock signal comprising k pulses each having a first duration, change the duration of each of m of the pulses to a second duration in response to the control signal, wherein m < k (column 5, lines 1-13) and the second duration is not substantially equal to the first duration, to produce a second clock signal, and apply the second clock signal to the clock inputs of the plurality of clocked storage elements (column 4, lines 51-60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the oscillator taught in Sunter et al. instead of the oscillator used in Dunn

Art Unit: 2117

et al. as the ring oscillator will ensure more accurate results. The ring oscillator in Dunn et al. has a high frequency limitation, since it can only be reduced to three logic gates. The oscillator in Dunn et al. returns inaccurate results, as the oscillation frequency is dependent on temperature, power supply voltage, and variations in the IC manufacturing process. Therefore it will be obvious to provide an accurate programmable ring oscillator whose design can be automatically synthesized from an HDL description to produce a digital circuit suitable for automatic layout in any IC manufacturing technology, to improve the testing accuracy in Dunn et al.'s apparatus. As per claim 2:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus further comprising a clock circuit adapted to provide the clock signal (column 4, lines 51-54).

As per claim 3:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus further comprising a measurement circuit adapted to measure a signal generated by the integrated circuit in response to the second clock signal (column 5, lines 4-7).

As per claim 4:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus further comprising a comparison circuit adapted to compare the signal generated by the integrated circuit to a predicted signal to obtain a test result (column 5, lines 1-4).

As per claim 5:

Art Unit: 2117

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus wherein the analysis circuit is further adapted to identify one of the signal paths as flawed based on the test result (column 6, lines 59-65).

As per claim 6:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus where in the signal generator is further adapted to change the duration of every nth pulse of the signal to the second duration to produce the second clock signal (Fig 12B # 1250, columns 6-7, lines 66-5), and successively apply the second clock signal at n different predetermined phases to the clock inputs of the clocked storage elements (column 7, lines 17-20), wherein each of the predetermined phases is offset from another of the predetermined phases by a period of the second clock signal (column 7, lines 21-25).

As per claim 7:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus wherein n = 2 (column 4, lines 51-54). As per claim 8:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus wherein the comparison circuit is further adapted to compare the signal generated by the integrated circuit to the predicted signal n times (Fig 12A # 1252, column 7, lines 6-7), each time corresponding to one of the n different predetermined phases (column 7, lines 7-15), and wherein the analysis circuit identifies at least one of the n different predetermined phases as a failure phase (column 6, lines 59-65).

As per claim 12:

Application/Control Number: 10/614,403 Page 7

Art Unit: 2117

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus wherein the one of the clocked storage elements is part of a scan chain (column 7, lines 5-8); and wherein the measurement circuit is further adapted to shift the contents of the scan chain from the integrated circuit to the measurement circuit (column 7, lines 15-30).

As per claim 13:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus for testing an integrated circuit comprising a plurality of clocked storage elements each having a clock input wherein the clocked storage elements are interconnected by a plurality of signal paths (column 4, lines 21-26), the apparatus comprising: control means for providing a control signal (Figure 6B # 605, column 8, lines 45-51); and signal generator means (column 4, lines 31-35) for receiving a first clock signal comprising k pulses each having a first duration, changing the duration of each of m of the pulses to a second duration in response to the control signal, wherein m < k and the second duration is not substantially equal to the first duration, to produce a second clock signal, and applying the second clock signal to the clock inputs of the plurality of clocked storage elements (column 4, lines 51-60) and analysis means to identify one of the signal paths as flawed (Figure 3B # 352, claim 10). As per claim 14:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus clock means for providing the clock signal (column 4, lines 51-54).

As per claim 15:

Art Unit: 2117

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus further comprising measurement means for measuring a signal generated by the integrated circuit in response to the second clock signal (column 5, lines 4-7).

As per claim 16:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus further comprising comparison means for comparing the signal generated by the integrated circuit to a predicted signal to obtain a test result (column 5, lines 1-4).

As per claim 17:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus further comprising analysis means for identifying one of the signal paths as flawed based on the test result (column 6, lines 59-65).

As per claim 18:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus wherein the signal generator means comprises: means for changing the duration of every nth pulse of the signal to the second duration to produce the second clock signal (Fig 12B # 1250, columns 6-7, lines 66-5); and means for successively applying the second clock signal at n different predetermined phases to the clock inputs of the clocked storage elements (column 7, lines 17-20), wherein each of the predetermined phases is offset from another of the predetermined phases by a period of the second clock signal (column 7, lines 21-25). As per claim 19:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus wherein n = 2 (column 4, lines 51-54).

As per claim 20:

Art Unit: 2117

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus wherein the comparison means

comprises means for comparing the signal generated by the integrated circuit to the

predicted signal n times (Fig 12A # 1252, column 7, lines 6-7), each time corresponding

to one of the n different predetermined phases (column 7, lines 7-15); and wherein the

analysis means comprises means for identifying at least one of the n different

predetermined phases as a failure phase (column 6, lines 59-65).

As per claim 24:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus wherein the one of the clocked storage

elements is part of a scan chain (column 7, lines 5-8); and wherein the measurement

means further comprises means for shifting the contents of the scan chain from the

integrated circuit to the measurement circuit (column 7, lines 15-30).

As per claims 25 and 36:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches a method and a computer program embodying

instructions executable by a computer to perform a method for testing an integrated

circuit comprising a plurality of clocked storage elements each having a clock input,

wherein the clocked storage elements are interconnected by a plurality of signal paths.

(column 4, lines 21-26), the method comprising: receiving a first clock signal comprising

k pulses each having a first duration (Figure 6B # 605, column 8, lines 45-51); changing

the duration of each of m of the pulses to a second duration in response to the control

signal, wherein m < k and the second duration is not substantially equal to the first

duration (column 7, lines 11-15), to produce a second clock signal; and applying the

second clock signal to clock inputs of a plurality of clocked storage elements

Art Unit: 2117

interconnected by a plurality of signal paths in a circuit (column 4, lines 51-60) and an analysis circuit adapted to identify one of the signal paths as flawed (Figure 3B # 352, claim 10).

As per claims 26 and 37:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches a method and a computer program embodying instructions executable by a computer to perform a method further comprising measuring a signal generated by the integrated circuit in response to the second clock signal (column 5, lines 4-7).

As per claims 27 and 38:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches a method and a computer program embodying instructions executable by a computer to perform a method further comprising comparing the signal generated by the integrated circuit to a predicted signal to obtain a test result (column 5, lines 1-4).

As per claims 28 and 39:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches a method and a computer program embodying instructions executable by a computer to perform a method further comprising identifying one of the signal paths as flawed based on the test result (column 6, lines 59-65).

As per claims 29 and 40:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches a method and a computer program embodying instructions executable by a computer to perform a method wherein changing the duration of m of the pulses comprises changing the duration of every nth pulse of the

Art Unit: 2117

on/Control Number: 10/014,40

signal to the second duration to produce the second clock signal (Fig 12B # 1250, columns 6-7, lines 66-5); and wherein applying the second clock signal to the clock inputs of the clocked storage elements comprises successively applying the second clock signal at n different predetermined phases to the clock inputs of the clocked storage elements (column 7, lines 17-20), wherein each of the predetermined phases is offset from another of the predetermined phases by a period of the second clock signal (column 7, lines 21-25).

As per claim 30 and 41:

Sunter/Dunn et al. a method wherein n = 2 (column 4, lines 51-54) and n = 1 (column 6, lines 49-51).

As per claims 31 and 42:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches a method and a computer program embodying instructions executable by a computer to perform a method wherein comparing the signal generated by the integrated circuit to the predicted signal comprises comparing the signal generated by the integrated circuit to the predicted signal n times (Fig 12A # 1252, column 7, lines 6-7), each time corresponding to one of the n different predetermined phases (column 7, lines 7-15); and wherein identifying one of the signal paths as flawed comprises identifying at least one of the n different predetermined phases as a failure phase (column 6, lines 59-65).

As per claims 35 and 46:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches a method and a computer program embodying instructions executable by a computer to perform a method wherein the one of the

Art Unit: 2117

clocked storage elements is part of a scan chain (column 7, lines 5-8) further comprising: shifting the contents of the scan chain from the integrated circuit to the measurement circuit (column 7, lines 15-30).

As per claims 47, 58, 69, and 80:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus, method and a computer program embodying instructions executable to perform a method for testing an integrated circuit comprising a plurality of clocked storage elements each having a clock input, wherein the clocked storage elements are interconnected by a plurality of signal paths (column 4, lines 21-26), the apparatus comprising a control circuit and means adapted to provide a control signal (Figure 6B # 605, column 8, lines 45-51); and a signal generator and means adapted (column 4, lines 31-35) to produce a clock signal comprising j pulses each having the first duration and m pulses having a second duration in response to the control signal, wherein k = m + i (As per claim 1 it was noted that m < k, hence the equation k = m + i holds the same limitations and is rejected under column 5, lines 1-13), and wherein the second duration is not substantially equal to the first duration, to produce a clock signal, and apply the clock signal to the clock inputs of the plurality of clocked storage elements (column 4, lines 51-60), and an analysis circuit adapted to identify one of the signal paths as flawed (Figure 3B # 352, claim 10).

As per claims 48, 59, 70, and 81:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus, method, and computer program further comprising a measurement circuit and measurement means adapted to measure a

Art Unit: 2117

signal generated by the integrated circuit in response to the clock signal (column 5, lines 4-7).

As per claims 49, 60, 71, and 82:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus, method, and computer program further comprising a comparison circuit and means adapted to compare the signal generated by the integrated circuit to a predicted signal to obtain a test result (column 5, lines 1-4). As per claims 50, 61, 72, and 83:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus, method, and computer program further comprising an analysis circuit and analysis means adapted to identify one of the signal paths as flawed based on the test result (column 6, lines 59-65).

As per claims 51, 62, 73, and 84:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus, method, and computer program wherein m = nj (same as number of pulses as in claim 6) and every pulse of the clock signal having the first duration is followed by n pulses having the second duration (Fig. 12B # 1250, columns 6-7, lines 66-5), wherein the signal generator and means is further adapted to successively apply the clock signal at n different predetermined phases to the clock inputs of the clocked storage elements (column 7, lines 17-20), wherein each of the predetermined phases is offset from another of the predetermined phases by a period of the second clock signal (column 7, lines 21-25).

As per claims 52, 63, 74, and 85:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus, method, and computer program wherein n = 2 (column 4, lines 51-54).

Art Unit: 2117

As per claims 53, 64, 75, and 86:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus, method, and computer program wherein the comparison circuit and means is further adapted to compare the signal generated by the integrated circuit to the predicted signal n times (Fig 12A # 1252, column 7, lines 6-7), each time corresponding to one of the n different predetermined phases (column 7, lines 7-15), and wherein the analysis circuit and means identifies at least one of the n different predetermined phases as a failure phase (column 6, lines 59-65).

As per claims 57, 68, 79, and 90:

Sunter/Dunn et al. teaches an apparatus, method, and computer program wherein the one of the clocked storage elements is part of a scan chain (column 7, lines 5-8); and wherein the measurement circuit and means is further adapted to shift the contents of the scan chain from the integrated circuit to the measurement circuit (column 7, lines 15-30).

Claims 9-11, 21-23, 32-34, 43-45, 54-56, 65-67, 76-78, & 87-89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn et al. US Pat no. 6,463,570, in view of Sunter et al. US Pat no. 6,204,694 B1, and further in view of Palermo US Pat no. 5,761,097.

As per claims 9, 10, and 11:

Sunter/Dunn et al. substantially teaches an apparatus for testing an integrated circuit comprising a plurality of clocked storage elements each having a clock input wherein the clocked storage elements are interconnected by a plurality of signal paths

Art Unit: 2117

. . _

(column 4, lines 21-26), the apparatus comprising, a control circuit adapted to provide a control signal (Figure 6B # 605, column 8, lines 45-51), and a signal generator adapted (column 4, lines 31-35) to, receive a first clock signal comprising k pulses each having a first duration, change the duration of each of m of the pulses to a second duration in response to the control signal, wherein m < k and the second duration is not substantially equal to the first duration, to produce a second clock signal, apply the second clock signal to the clock inputs of the plurality of clocked storage elements (column 4, lines 51-60), further comprising a clock circuit adapted to provide the clock signal (column 4, lines 51-54), apparatus further comprising a measurement circuit adapted to measure a signal generated by the integrated circuit in response to the second clock signal (column 5, lines 4-7), further comprising a comparison circuit adapted to compare the signal generated by the integrated circuit to a predicted signal to obtain a test result (column 5, lines 1-4), further comprising an analysis circuit adapted to identify one of the signal paths as flawed based on the test result (column 6, lines 59-65), where in the signal generator is further adapted to change the duration of every nth pulse of the signal to the second duration to produce the second clock signal (Fig 12B # 1250, columns 6-7, lines 66-5), and successively apply the second clock signal at n different predetermined phases to the clock inputs of the clocked storage elements (column 7, lines 17-20), wherein each of the predetermined phases is offset from another of the predetermined phases by a period of the second clock signal (column 7, lines 21-25), wherein n = 2 (column 4, lines 51-54), wherein the comparison circuit is further adapted to compare the signal generated by the integrated circuit to the

Art Unit: 2117

predicted signal n times (Fig 12A # 1252, column 7, lines 6-7), each time corresponding to one of the n different predetermined phases (column 7, lines 7-15), and wherein the analysis circuit identifies at least one of the n different predetermined phases as a failure phase (column 6, lines 59-65), wherein the comparison circuit is further adapted to compare values stored in the clocked storage elements to predicted values (column 7, lines 9-14), wherein the comparison circuit is further adapted to compare a value stored by one of the clocked storage elements to a corresponding one of the predicted values (column 7, lines 9-14), and wherein the analysis circuit is further adapted to identify as flawed one of the signal paths connected to the one of the clocked storage elements when the value stored by the one of the clocked storage elements is not equal to the corresponding one of the predicted values (column 6, lines 56-65), wherein the comparison circuit is further adapted to compare the value stored by a further one of the clocked storage elements to a further- corresponding one of the predicted values (column 7, lines 5-15), wherein the value stored by the one of the clocked storage elements is a function of the value stored by the further one of the clocked storage elements (column 7, lines 11-25) and wherein the analysis circuit is further adapted to identify is flawed one of the signal paths connected to the one of the clocked storage elements and the further one of the clocked storage elements when the value stored by the further one of the clocked storage elements is not equal to the further corresponding one of the predicted values (column 7, lines 17-25 & column 6, lines 59-65).

Sunter/Dunn et al. does not explicitly teach the signal generator to be further adapted to apply the failure phase of the clock signal.

Art Unit: 2117

However, Palermo, in an analogous art, teaches an apparatus wherein the signal generator is further adapted to apply the failure phase of the second clock signal to the clock inputs of the clocked storage elements during a first predetermined portion of a test time (Figure 5 # 112, column 6, lines 43-55) and wherein the signal generator is further adapted to apply the failure phase of the second clock signal to the clock inputs of the clocked storage elements during a second predetermined portion of the test time when the values stored in the clocked storage elements are not equal to the predicted values (Figure 5 # 110, column 6, lines 25-55). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the failure phase to the clock inputs within the signal generator of Sunter at al. This modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that applying the failure phase would have ensured a better analysis of the timing violations.

As per claim 21-23, 32-34, and 43-45:

Sunter/Dunn et al. substantially teaches an apparatus, a method, and a computer program embodying instructions executable by a computer to perform a method for testing an integrated circuit comprising a plurality of clocked storage elements each having a clock input wherein the clocked storage elements are interconnected by a plurality of signal paths (column 4, lines 21-26), the apparatus comprising: control means for providing a control signal (Figure 6B # 605, column 8, lines 45-51); and signal generator means (column 4, lines 31-35) for receiving and producing a first clock signal comprising k pulses each having a first duration, changing

Art Unit: 2117

the duration of each of m of the pulses to a second duration in response to the control signal, wherein m < k and the second duration is not substantially equal to the first duration, to produce a second clock signal, and applying the second clock signal to the clock inputs of the plurality of clocked storage elements (column 4, lines 51-60), an apparatus clock means for providing the clock signal (column 4, lines 51-54), further comprising measurement means for measuring a signal generated by the integrated circuit in response to the second clock signal (column 5, lines 4-7), further comprising comparison means for comparing the signal generated by the integrated circuit to a predicted signal to obtain a test result (column 5, lines 1-4), further comprising analysis means for identifying one of the signal paths as flawed based on the test result (column 6, lines 59-65), wherein the signal generator means comprises: means for changing the duration of every nth pulse of the signal to the second duration to produce the second clock signal (Fig 12B # 1250, columns 6-7, lines 66-5); and means for successively applying the second clock signal at n different predetermined phases to the clock inputs of the clocked storage elements (column 7, lines 17-20), wherein each of the predetermined phases is offset from another of the predetermined phases by a period of the second clock signal (column 7, lines 21-25), wherein n = 2 (column 4, lines 51-54), wherein the comparison means comprises means for comparing the signal generated by the integrated circuit to the predicted signal n times (Fig 12A # 1252, column 7, lines 6-7), each time corresponding to one of the n different predetermined phases (column 7. lines 7-15); and wherein the analysis means comprises means for identifying at least

one of the n different predetermined phases as a failure phase (column 6, lines 59-65),

Art Unit: 2117

wherein the comparison means further comprises means for comparing values stored in the clocked storage elements to predicted values, (column 7, lines 9-14) wherein the comparison means further comprises means for comparing a value stored by one of the clocked storage elements to a corresponding one of the predicted values (column 7, lines 9-14), wherein the analysis means further comprises means for identifying as flawed one of the signal paths connected to the one of the clocked storage elements when the value stored by the one of the clocked storage elements is not equal to the corresponding one of the predicted values result (column 6, lines 59-65), wherein the comparison means further comprises means for comparing the value stored by a further one of the clocked storage elements to a further corresponding one of the predicted values (column 6, lines 56-65), wherein the value stored by the one of the clocked storage elements is a function of the value stored by the further one of the clocked storage elements (column 7, lines 5-15), and wherein the analysis circuit means further comprises means for identifying as flawed one of the signal paths connected to the one of the clocked storage elements and the further one of the clocked storage elements when the value stored by the further one of the clocked storage elements is not equal to the further corresponding one of the predicted values (column 7, lines 17-25 & column 6, lines 59-65).

Sunter/Dunn et al. does not explicitly teach the signal generator to be further adapted to apply the failure phase of the clock signal.

However, Palermo, in an analogous art, teaches an apparatus, a method, and a computer program embodying instructions executable by a computer to perform a

Art Unit: 2117

method wherein the signal generator means further comprises means for applying the failure phase of the second clock signal to the clock inputs of the clocked storage elements during a first predetermined portion of a test time (Figure 5 # 112, column 6, lines 43-55) and wherein the signal generator means further comprises means for applying the failure phase of the second clock signal to the clock inputs of the clocked storage elements during a second predetermined portion of the test time when the values stored in the clocked storage elements are not equal to the predicted values (Figure 5 # 110, column 6, lines 25-55). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the failure phase to the clock inputs within the signal generator of Sunter at al. This modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that applying the failure phase would have ensured a better analysis of the timing violations.

As per claims 54-56, 65-67, 76-78, and 87-89:

Sunter/Dunn et al. substantially teaches an apparatus, method, and computer program for testing an integrated circuit comprising a plurality of clocked storage elements each having a clock input wherein the clocked storage elements are interconnected by a plurality of signal paths (column 4, lines 21-26), the apparatus, method, and computer program comprising, a control circuit and means adapted to provide a control signal (Figure 6B # 605, column 8, lines 45-51), and a signal generator and means adapted (column 4, lines 31-35) to, producing a first clock signal comprising k pulses each having a first duration, change the duration of each of m of the pulses to

Art Unit: 2117

a second duration in response to the control signal, wherein k = m + j and the second duration is not substantially equal to the first duration, to produce a second clock signal, apply the second clock signal to the clock inputs of the plurality of clocked storage elements (column 4, lines 51-60), further comprising a clock circuit adapted to provide the clock signal (column 4, lines 51-54), apparatus, method, and computer program further comprising a measurement circuit and means adapted to measure a signal generated by the integrated circuit in response to the second clock signal (column 5, lines 4-7), further comprising a comparison circuit and means adapted to compare the signal generated by the integrated circuit to a predicted signal to obtain a test result (column 5, lines 1-4), further comprising an analysis circuit and means adapted to identify one of the signal paths as flawed based on the test result (column 6, lines 59-65), wherein m = nj (relates to number of pulses as in claim 6) and very pulse of the clock signal having the first duration is followed by n pulses having the second duration (Fig 12B # 1250, columns 6-7, lines 66-5), wherein the signal generator and means is further adapted to successively apply the clock signal at n different predetermined phases to the clock inputs of the clocked storage elements (column 7, lines 17-20), wherein each of the predetermined phases is offset from another of the predetermined phases by a period of the second clock signal (column 7, lines 21-25), wherein n = 2 (column 4, lines 51-54), wherein the comparison circuit and means is further adapted to compare the signal generated by the integrated circuit to the predicted signal n times (Fig 12A # 1252, column 7, lines 6-7), each time corresponding to one of the n different predetermined phases (column 7, lines 7-15), and wherein the analysis circuit and

Art Unit: 2117

means identifies at least one of the n different predetermined phases as a failure phase (column 6, lines 59-65), wherein the comparison circuit and means is further adapted to compare values stored in the clocked storage elements to predicted values (column 7, lines 9-14), wherein the comparison circuit and means is further adapted to compare a value stored by one of the clocked storage elements to a corresponding one of the predicted values (column 7, lines 9-14), and wherein the analysis circuit and means is further adapted to identify as flawed one of the signal paths connected to the one of the clocked storage elements when the value stored by the one of the clocked storage elements is not equal to the corresponding one of the predicted values (column 6, lines 56-65), wherein the comparison circuit and means is further adapted to compare the value stored by a further one of the clocked storage elements to a furthercorresponding one of the predicted values (column 7, lines 5-15), wherein the value stored by the one of the clocked storage elements is a function of the value stored by the further one of the clocked storage elements (column 7, lines 11-25) and wherein the analysis circuit is further adapted to identify as flawed one of the signal paths connected to the one of the clocked storage elements and the further one of the clocked storage elements when the value stored by the further one of the clocked storage elements is not equal to the further corresponding one of the predicted values (column 7, lines 17-25 & column 6, lines 59-65).

Sunter/Dunn et al. does not explicitly teach the signal generator to be further adapted to apply the failure phase of the clock signal.

Art Unit: 2117

However, Palermo, in an analogous art, teaches an apparatus, method, and

computer program wherein the signal generator and means is further adapted to apply

the failure phase of the second clock signal to the clock inputs of the clocked storage

elements during a first predetermined portion of a test time (Figure 5 # 112, column 6,

lines 43-55) and wherein the signal generator and means is further adapted to apply the

failure phase of the second clock signal to the clock inputs of the clocked storage

elements during a second predetermined portion of the test time when the values stored

in the clocked storage elements are not equal to the predicted values (Figure 5 # 110,

column 6, lines 25-55). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

the art at the time the invention was made to apply the failure phase to the clock inputs

within the signal generator of Sunter at al. This modification would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art because one of ordinary skill in the art would have

recognized that applying the failure phase would have ensured a better analysis of the

timing violations.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2117

1/Ochtron Humber: 10/01-1,-10

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saqib J. Siddiqui whose telephone number is (571) 272-6553. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jacques Louis-Jacques can be reached on (571) 272-6962. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Saqib Siddiqui Art Unit 2138 05/03/2007

SUPERING OGY CENTER 2100